REMARKS

The most recent Office Action mailed July 24, 2007 ("Office Action") considered claims 1 and 40-86, of which claims 1, 40, 64, and 77 are independent. The Office Action rejected claims 1, 40-42, 47-48, 64, 66, 68-70, and 76-83 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,105,647 to Maher ("Maher"). The Office Action also rejected claims 1 and 40-86 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2 as being indefinite in view of the phrases "such as" or "preferably." In addition, the Office Action rejected claim 65 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1, indicating that the claimed subject matter was not adequately described in the specification.

With this paper, Applicant herewith cancels claims 48-49, and 66-67 without prejudice, and further amends claims 1, 40, 47, 51, 56, 59-61, 64, 77, and 81-85. Applicant further herewith adds new claims 87-90. Accordingly, claims 1, 40-47, 50-65, and 68-90 are now presently pending, of which claims 1, 40, 64, 77, and 89-90 are independent.

In each of the amended claims, Applicant has amended the objected-to wording where applicable or otherwise identified based on the wording pointed out in the *Office Action*. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the § 112¶2 rejections of record are now moot.

In addition, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of dependent claim 47, which the *Office Action* indicated contains allowable subject matter. Although claim 47 originally depends from claim 40, original claim 1 contains the same limitations as claim 40, albeit with different wording. Accordingly, inasmuch as the combination of claims 40 and 47 were indicated as being allowable, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 1 is now allowable over the art of record for the same reasons.

Applicant has also amended claim 40 to incorporate the limitations of dependent claims

48-49, which the Office Action indicated contain allowable subject matter. Accordingly,

Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 40 is now allowable over the art of record.

Along similar lines, Applicant has amended independent claim 64 to include the

limitations of dependent claims 66-67, which the Office Action indicated contain allowable

annual of dependent claims of or, which the office rection indicated contain anomalie

subject matter. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 64 is now

allowable over the art of record.

In addition, Applicant has amended independent claim 77 to include the subject matter of

dependent claims 48-49 deemed by the Office Action to be allowable in the context of

independent method claim 40. Applicant respectfully submits that the art of record fails to teach.

disclose, or otherwise suggest all of the limitations of independent claim 77 as presently

constituted. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that amended independent claim 77 is

now allowable over the art of record.

Furthermore, Applicant has added new dependent claims 87 and 88, which recite subject

matter removed in the present amendments to claims 40 and 77, respectively, in order to satisfy

wording objections with respect to the term "preferably." As new claims 87-88 respectively

depend from allowable claims 40 or 77, Applicant respectfully submits that dependent claims 87-

88 is allowable.

Still further, Applicant has added new dependent claim 89, which combines the

limitations of independent claim 40 and otherwise allowable claim 57. Similarly, Applicant has

added new claim 90 which combines the limitations of independent claim 77 and otherwise

allowable claims 85-86. Applicant respectfully submits, therefore, that new independent claims

88-89 are allowable.

Page 17 of 18

Application No. 10/564,753 Amendment "B" dated October 19, 2007

Response to Non-final Office Action mailed July 24, 2007

Applicant respectfully submits, therefore, that the instant application is in condition for

prompt allowance. In the event that the Examiner finds impediment to a prompt allowance of

this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to

contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 19th day of October, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael J. Frodsham/

Michael J. Frodsham Registration No. 48,699 Attorney for Applicant Customer No. 022913

MJF:kbl

KJB0000011417V001.DOC